Collecting Demographic and Behavioral Data through Stationary and Hat-Mounted Cameras

Victoria Bonebrake, Kelly Riedinger, and Martin Storksdieck

INTRODUCTION

Oregon State University used stationary cameras to characterize visitor demographics, and head-mounted GoPros to capture visitor's conversations and decision making behaviors.

This study is part of a broader collaboration between three research teams to understand how visitor agendas, behavior, and learning relate to the conservation education agenda of most zoos and aquariums (Z/As). You can read more at: http://wzam.org



RESEARCH QUESTIONS

What are the entry characteristics of visitors and how do these characteristics play out in terms of behaviors during the Z/A visit?

- 1. How do visitors make choices about what to experience during the visit?
- 2. How do these choices link to "learning" behaviors"?

THEORETICAL CONTEXT

- Contextual model of learning (Falk & Dierking, 2000; Falk & Storksdieck, 2005)
- Integrated Experience Model (Storksdieck, 2006)
- Visitor-based learning framework (Barriault & Pearson, 2010)

CONTACT INFO

Victoria Bonebrake Kelly Riedinger Martin Storksdieck

Victoria.Bonebrake@oregonstate.edu Kelly.Rieidinger@oregonstate.edu Storksdieck@oregonstate.edu

DATA COLLECTED

We would like to thank the NSF, our research team partners at Center for Research and Evaluation at COSI, New Knowledge Organization Ltd., and the Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA). We would also like to thank the advisory team for their involvement in this study and to the AZA member institutions for participating as data collection sites. We would also like to thank Dr. Shawn Rowe, Mark Farley, and Kelly Sullivan at the Hatfield Marine Science Center in Newport, Oregon for their guidance on video data collection strategies.

Oregon State University

GOPROS: A VISITOR'S EYE VIEW

Recordings from 70 visits across 6 sites coded for:

Time engaged in...

Time spent...

• at exhibits • in transit

- meaning making
- wayfinding
- decision making

Preliminary Coding and Calculating

emotions

- Team of coders trained w/ est. protocol
- **Calculations automated** w/ Excel (e.g. elapsed time, % of visit spent doing X)
- are subtle and ingrained in us

PRELIMINARY FINDINGS and LESSONS LEARNED

- For timing and tracking, interrater reliability: present (1) /not present (0)
- Visitors engage in some meaning-making talk when not at exhibits (e.g. in transit, in giftshop, between exhibits).
- (e.g., younger than 5, 5-9, 25-34, 35-44; as compared to US census)
- Most groups (67%) in our sample were visiting with children
- Adult Groups: Most common group size was 2 & age was 25-34
- Groups with Children: Most common group size was 3 & age was 25-34

"On a scale from 1 to 5 (1 = not important and 5 = very important), how important do you think each of the statements are to the mission of zoos and aquariums?"	Entry Ratings (n=77)			
		What do you think is the mission of zoos and aquariums? (N=77)	Entry	Exit
To provide public with educational experience	4.8			
To protect critical habitat, endangered, and threatened species	4.7	Education	42%	39%
		Conservation	40%	46%
To provide public with connections to the natural world	4.7	Direct Encounters & Interactions	7%	9%
To provide public with entertaining and enjoyable experience	4.7	Entertainment	5%	3%
To provide direct encounters with nature and wildlife	4.5	Multiple, Complex Goals	0%	1%
To improve public understanding of science	4.4	No response / I don't know	7%	3%
To be leaders in sustaining and protecting the environment	4.4			



Time spent talking about... conservation • animal welfare



Coding non-deliberate (vs. deliberate) decision making is difficult, because those behaviors

• White visitors, female visitors, & some age categories were over-represented in our sample

This project was made possible with support from NSF Grants 1612720 and 1612699.