Types of Survey Questions

Type of Question	Choice	Considerations Resulting Stats.
Yes/No (or alternative response) Cats are better than dogs. Yes No No Response (or N/A) Multiple Response Cats are better than dogs. Cats and dogs are good pets. Cats and dogs can get along. People have different	Choose one. You may want to add an "other" or "not applicable." Choose one or more responses.	 Need to be exclusive of each other Need to be inclusive of all possible responses Flat data Simple to interpret and aggregate If data are totaled, sum of responses may equal more than 100% is more than one response is allowed % of respondents who choose each alternative % who respond
perspectives on cats and dogs.Scaleda. Cats are better than dogs.AgreeDisagree1234567b. Most often, dogs are betterpets than cats.neverAlways1234567	Choose one number from a continuum.	 You can report average Types of scales include: Agreement, comfort level, skill level and frequency. Whether you use a 3, 410 point scale may affect your results You may report % who chose any one particular number, but more often the mean is reported who respond
Category or Interval Scale a. Cats are better than dogs. Agree Agree a little Disagree a little Disagree	Choose one of several choices. You may want to add an "other" or "not applicable."	 Whether you use a 3, 410 point scale may affect your results Some believe you can report average Need to be exclusive of each other Need to be inclusive of all possible responses Who chose any one particular category, and though controversial, often the mean is reported
Ranking or ordering Please rank the following from one to four with one being the best and four being the worst. Cats Dogs Giraffes Platypuses Open-ended	Rank the choices with numbers on a scale (e.g. 1-4). Write a	 Direct comparison between choices Sometimes people do not feel comparison is appropriate It is important to choose similar choices Difficult to interpret and Average number for each choice across participants Which make all lists Who respond
Please explain why cats are better than dogs in the space below.	response.	 Difficult to interpret and aggregate; data must be coded to sort Good for quotes Agreement here means more than agreement with pre-determined choices Question must be clear enough to get the types of answers desired Categories of responses of responses and report % of responses that fall into each who respond

Stacking the Deck for your Evaluation

- Start early. Leave time for aggregating your data; data collection and aggregation can be very time consuming.
- ***** Design your evaluation paying careful attention to your proposal goals.
- Consider your project's realm of influence broadly. Your project is likely to reach many different audiences; think broadly when you are considering who to gather data from.
- Be realistic: Think about measurable outcomes. Given time and logistical constraints it can be difficult to measure certain impacts or outcomes so be realistic when you are setting your evaluation goals. Although your project may be successful in changing many things, try to concentrate on those for which you can collect data.
- Start small and keep things simple. Brainstorm ideas for evaluation activities which measure the broad scope and specific focus of your goals, then design evaluation tools as simply as possible, documenting how much time it will take to develop, collect, and analyze the data and write the report. Be realistic.
- ✤ Build it in. As you plan your evaluation timeline, try to incorporate the administration of all data collection activities into everyday activities.



http://www.evaluationspringboard.org/science

	20	1						
Evaluation Springboard								
Getting Started	Evaluation 101	Case Studies	Labs	Resources				
• Evalu 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ng Started ation 101: Introduction Evaluation 101: Why Do A Evaluation 101: Why Do A Evaluation 101: Why Do A Evaluation 101: How Do I Prepare Design Plan Framing Que Organizing T Select Methods Quantitative Mixed Metho Data Collecti Existif Surve Interv Focus Obser Analyze Data Approach Analyzis of Q Data F Codim Data F Codim Data A Integration 8 Analysis of Q Prepai Makim Take Action Studies: Introduction Case Study 1: Discovering Case Study 2: Using Videc Introduction Lab 3: Consent and Huma Lab 4: Evaluation Methods Lab 5: Data Collection Lab 7: Data Analysis Lab 3: Consent and Huma Lab 4: Evaluation Methods Lab 5: Data Collection Lab 7: Data Analysis Lab 3: Consent and Huma Lab 4: Evaluation Methods Lab 5: Data Collection Lab 7: Data Analysis Lab 8: Taking Action reces Webinars and Trainings Presentations Websites Online Publications References	n Evaluation? Do An Evaluation? stions pools & Qualitative ds on Methods g Data /s lews Groups vations ments uantitative Data reparation g the Data intry Checking unalysis Synthesis ualitative Data ing & Organzing g Sense of Results Forces for Current Museum	Science					
Copyright 2006 ROCKMAN & Revised Copyright 2008 Roc	7.42, and the EdVenture Group KMAN 67.44			Partners • Site Map • Home				

1 of 1

7/25/2008 1:04 AM